(b) The Govermnent of India and Delhi Administration have been directed to set up one Industrial Tribunal and two Labour Courts in Delhi within the period of six months by order dated 8-5-84 in connection with Writ Petition No. 2646 filed by Shri Inder Mohan.

(c) 13493.

(d) 235.

(e) and (f) Not yet. The order of the High Court is to be complied with within six months.

Unauthorised manufacture of formulations by M/s. Warner Hindustan

1973. SHRI MIRZA IRSHADBAIG AIYUBBAIG: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to refer to the answer to the Unstarred Question 986 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 7th May, 1984 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that instances of manufacture of 21 items of formulations by M/s. Warner Hindustan without industrial licence came to the notice of his Ministry in April, 1979 and no action was taken against the company for over three years;

(b) when this company violated Section 11 of (IDIR) Act, why instead of taking action the matter was referred to inter-ministerial working group;

(c) what is the course of action and the procedure suggested by the working group and what are the recommendations of this working group on this issue; and

(d) whether the implementation of the provisions of the Acts of Parliament could be withheld or suspended to await the recommendations of the National Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Council; if so, who is competent to do so?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VASANT SATHE): (a) to (d) Before any final view about action to be taken against M/s. Warner Hindustan could be formed, similar instances of manufacture of drugs without industrial approvals, both by Indian and foreign companies had come to the notice of Government. It was, therefore, decided in August, 1982 with the approval of competent authority to constitute an Inter Ministerial Working Group to examine this problem in all its aspects and to suggest the possible lines of action that could be taken and the procedure to be followed. The report of the said Working Group has been referred to the National Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Development Council (NDPDC) with the approval of competent authority. Further action in all such cases would depend upon the recommendations of the NDPDC.

Implementation of Drug Policy, 1978

1974. SHRIMATI MAIMOONA SULTAN: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 888 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 7th May, 1984 and state:

(a) how his Ministry started implementing the Drug Policy, 1978 without laying down uniform guidelines for the purpose of ratio-parameters and without verifying itself the details furnished by the Companies;

(b) how and with whose approval it was decided to accept Chartered Accountants certificates for ex-factory values;

(c) what is the *locus standi* of National Drugs and Pharmaceutical Development Council in suggesting uniform guidelines for the purpose of ratio parameters when the Council is not required to perform such functions;

(d) why action against those who have acted in total violation of 1978 Drug Policy has not been taken;